

Sri A. P. APPANNA.—If the hon. Member remember we had taken a decision in 1968 that in certain areas where we thought of constructing Industrial Estates not to go ahead with the same because of the fact that we found that the response for this Industrial Estate was not good. But now it has been written by the Assistant Director of Industries, Chitradurga that there is a demand and we are calling the names of entrepreneurs and after a list is received, the State Government will take a decision to provide Industrial development area to the entrepreneurs.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Sir, as regards held over question No. 77 I want a little more time to reply to the question. From Tumkur certain information was not made available and this was brought to my notice by the hon. Member and I have called for the information and it might be received to-day evening. In any case, I will answer it tomorrow.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Alright. The question is held-over.

Now short notice question No. 13.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION AND ANSWER

Fine levied by the Irrigation Officer on Turkandoni and Purthipli villagers under Irrigation Act

13. **Sri M. NAGAPPA (Raichur).**—

Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the raiyats of village Turkandoni and Purthipli, who have raised their crop under the minor irrigation projects (Private and public) i.e., irrigation wells (Private) and tanks, have been levied maximum fine by the irrigation officer under Section 23 (5) of the Irrigation Act;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Deputy Commissioner, Raichur as per the request of the Irrigation Officer, ordered the Tahsildar, Raichur to recover the fine by coercive methods very recently, and even attached the produce and standing crop of the land;

(c) whether the Government would issue immediate orders to stay the recovery of fines and appoint an officer to enquire into the matter?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) No penalty has been levied under section 23 (5) of the Irrigation Act.

(b) and (c) Do not arise in view of reply to (a).

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು (ಅ) ಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ದಂಡವನ್ನು ವಿಧಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದು ಇರ್ರಿಗೇಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರಲಾರದು. ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿರುವವರು ಬಾವಿಗಳ ನೀರಿನಿಂದ ತೆಗೆಯುವ ಬೆಳೆಗಳಿಗೆ ವಾಟರ್ ರೇಟ್ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ತುರ್ಕಾದೋಣ ಮತ್ತು ಪುತಿ-ಪ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ದಂಡ ವಿಧಿಸಿ ತೊಂದರೆಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

† Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—No such penalty has been levied. The position is like this. In these two villages some of the lands have been localised for light irrigation under T. V. P. even though they have been classified as wet and garden lands. Since the ryots utilised the Tungabhadra canal water and instead of growing light crops they have grown wet crops, the Irrigation officer has taken action under rule 23 (a) of the Mysore Irrigation Rules read with section 64 of the Mysore Irrigation Act.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಿದರು, ಅದು ರೋಕರೈಟ್ ಅದ ರ್ಯಾಂಡ್. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ 23 (ಎ) ಸಬ್ ರೂಲ್ 22ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಫೈನ್ ಹಾಕಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆಂದು. ವಾಸ್ತವಿಕವಾಗಿ ರೂಲ್ 23 (ಎ)ನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಅವರು ಓದಿ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಅದು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ಭಾವಿಗಳಂತಕ್ಕೆ ರ್ಯಾಂಡನ್ನು ರೋಕರೈಟ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಯಾವ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಸಮಂಜಸ ವಾದುದು? ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಈ ರ್ಯಾಂಡನ್ನು ರೋಕರೈಟ್‌ಗೇಷ್ಚನ್ನಿಂದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸಲ್ ಮಾಡುವುದ ಕ್ಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚುವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ, ಅದರಿಂದ ಅಂತಹ ರ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ರೋಕರೈಟ್‌ಗೇಷ್ಚನ್ನಿಂದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸಲ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಈಗ ವಾಟರ್ ರೇಟ್ ಫೈನ್ ಹಾಕಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸಲ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅದೇಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—I will take note of these two suggestions and get it examined immediately.

Mr SPEAKER.—Now question hour is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Construction of a bridge on a halla in Pavagada Taluk

137. Sri P. ANJANAPPA (Pavagada).—

Will the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state :—

whether they will provide facilities to buses and carts going to B. K. Halli by constructing a bridge to a halla flowing to Kyataganacharlakere in Pavagada Taluk?

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Minister for Public Works).—

This will be considered subject to availability of funds.